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## Key Points/Concepts

Effect of the Missing Wedge
Image considerations
filtering, masking
All-vs-all alignment as an option
Optimizing search parameters for efficiency
Examples $\qquad$
carboxysome, $\varepsilon 15$, herpes pentonless capsid, trypanosome flagella

Effect of the missing wedge
-Tomographic data is limited to $\pm 70^{\circ}$ max tilts
-Distorts the reconstructions

- Makes mutual alignment difficult
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The missing wedge in Fourier space $\qquad$ during orientation cross-correlation search
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The number of zeros in the complex product changes with orientation, and the more zeros, the lower the cross-correlation peak
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One solution is to scale the cross-correlation peak by the reciprocal of the number of non-zeros in the complex product for that orientation

## Another more recent option

-At each orientation, normalize the cross-correlation map
$\qquad$
-In real space, this makes the mean=0
and s.d. $=1$, so peak value is the \# times $\qquad$
s.d. by which the peak exceeds the
average for that orientation
-In Fourier space this makes total power
$\qquad$
of the complex product equal for all
orientations, which compensates for the
missing wedge
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| Improvement of alignment by accounting for the missing wedge in cross-correlation search |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fraction missing | Tilt series equivalent | Ignoring effect of missing wedge | Accounting for missing wedge |
| . 00 | $\pm 90^{\circ}$ | 40, 20, -20 | 40, 20, -20 |
| . 05 | $\pm 85.5^{\circ}$ | 40, 20, -20 | 40, 20, -20 |
| . 10 | $\pm 81.0^{\circ}$ | 40, 20, -20 | 40, 20, -20 |
| . 15 | $\pm 76.5^{\circ}$ | 40, 20, -20 | 40, 20, -20 |
| . 20 | $\pm 72.0^{\circ}$ | 40, 20, -20 | 35, -105, 125* |
| . 25 | $\pm 67.5^{\circ}$ | 5, 20, -20 | 40, 15, -15 |
| . 30 | $\pm 63.0^{\circ}$ | 5, 15,-15 | 35, 20, -20 |
| . 35 | $\pm 58.5^{\circ}$ | 5, 15, -15 | 35, 20, -20 |
| . 40 | $\pm 54.0^{\circ}$ | 5, 15,-15 | 40, 20, -20 |
| . 45 | $\pm 49.5^{\circ}$ | 5, 15, -15 | 15, 75, -90 |
| . 50 | $\pm 45.0^{\circ}$ | 5, 15, -15 | 10, -60, 50 |
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Fraction
missing missing wedge 40, 20, -20 40, 20, -20
$40,20,-20$
$40,20,-20 \quad 40,20,-20$
$40,20,-20$
$35,-105,125 *$
40, 15, -15
35, 20, -20
35, 20, -20
15, 75, -90
$10,-60,50$
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## Image Preparation Considerations

$\qquad$
. Contrast-flipping, initial rotation and hand-choice
Reconstruction is usually contrast-reversed
Some reconstructions do not have missing wedge along z
2. Filtering

Subtomograms are inherently low-res and high-noise, so alignment should not be overly optimistic ( $\sim 40 \mathrm{~A}$ lowpass is appropriate)
Large-scale features of the object may allow even more aggressive
filtering for initial alignment filtering for initial alignment
3. Normalization

Useful for classification based on cross-correlation peak values
4. Masking

Spherical Zero mask insures correct "fill-in" function for rotated volumes
5. Always carry out resulting operations (rotation and translation) on the ORIGINAL files, not the low-passed, etc. versions!
6. Ultimate goal is to keep track of all transformations to be able to reinsert the average into the tomogram at the orientation of each instance of the structure

## Carboxysome

## Found in photosynthetic and chemoautotrophic bacteria

"Polyhedral" bodies - ~100 nm diameter, thin angular shell
granular interior
Contain RuBisCO - fixes $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
Regulated
Size, shape, symmetry of carboxysome and arrangement of RuBisCO unknown
What if we want to inventory macromolecular machines in the cell if we don't know much about them a priori
500 nm
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## Approach

Conventional single particle processing with icosahedral symmetry using common lines did not work
Our approach - averaging 3D subvolumes extracted from tomograms (subtomograms)

- but subtomograms have a missing wedge in Fourier space the same shape as the missing wedge of the entire tomogram
In the literature of post-tomographic averaging,
$\qquad$ subtomograms have been aligned against a 3D model template which does not have a missing wedge
Size heterogeneity and unknown symmetry make it difficult to choose a starting model
Therefore we chose to mutually align subtomograms to each other
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Plot of density for 1 of 92 3-D Volumes
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Carboxysomes have size heterogeneity


Reference-free 3-D alignment and averaging

Roughly split 3-D subvolumes into 9 diameter classes

All-vs.-all mutual cross-correlation orientation alignment within each class, and also with the next larger class, and also with the next larger
and smaller diameter classes, shifting and smaller
if necessary

## П

Average best pairs of alignments These replace the original data pairs in new all-vs-all round


Result of all-vs.-all mutual cross-correlation searching and averaging in size classes $\qquad$
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## Conclusions

$\qquad$

- Shell symmetry is icosahedral
- Size of carboxysome varies from 88 to 103 nm - $\qquad$ unusual for an icosahedral particle
Shell protein arrangement varies with size
RuBisCO organization in layers inside, but not
$\qquad$ regular, nor constant amount per particle
- Specialized processing needed for determining
mutual orientation and for averaging of particles with missing wedge $\qquad$
Schmid et al. (2006) J. Mol. Biol. (in press, online 09/14/06 )
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HSV pentonless capsids
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Icosahedral single particle reconstruction -
Portal averaged away $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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Tilt Series of Herpes Pentonless capsid $\qquad$
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## Alignment problem

-The missing wedge causes densities to be different in different directions (from part 1)
-However, opposite vertices are affected equally $\qquad$ by the missing wedge, so our solution was to compare the densities at opposite vertices; the $\qquad$ one with the biggest difference in density was the portal vertex. $\qquad$
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Difference map- 5 -fold minus icosahedral average, $\qquad$
cylindrically averaged, placed into icosahedral map


## Epsilon 15 tomographic

 averages1. Align tomographic subvolumes to $\qquad$ icosahedrally averaged model from single particle (after this, no model used)
2. Put each vertex in turn along $z$, average it c19 (cylindrical) $\qquad$
3. Put unique vertex along +z . (6 tail spikes still not aligned) $\qquad$
4. All-vs-all cross-correlation with 5 search orientations
5. Average best-correlating pairs, etc.
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Jiang et al. (2006) Nature 439:612
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Epsilon 15 tomographic
averages

1. Align tomographic subvolumes to icosahedrally averaged model from single particle (after this, no model used)
2. Put each vertex in turn along z , average it c19 (cylindrical)
3. Put unique vertex along +Z . (6 tail spikes still not aligned)
4. All-vs-all cross-correlation with 5 search orientations
5. Average best-correlating pairs, etc.
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## Epsilon 15 tomographic

## averages

1. Align tomographic subvolumes to $\qquad$ icosahedrally averaged model from single particle (after this, no model used)
2. Put each vertex in turn along z , average it c19 (cylindrical) $\qquad$
3. Put unique vertex along +Z . (6 tail spikes still not aligned)
$\qquad$
4. All-vs-all cross-correlation with 5 search orientations
$\qquad$
5. Average best-correlating pairs, etc. $\qquad$
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Schmid et al (unpublished) Jiang et al. (2006) Nature 439:612
